Case 1:13-cr-00315-WHP Document 44 Filed 12/19/13 Page 1 of 16

DC6ECILC UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, New York, N.Y. 4 13 CR 315(WHP) V. 5 FREDERIC CILINS, 6 Defendant. 7 -----x 8 December 6, 2013 9 10 Before: 11 HON. WILLIAM H. PAULEY III, 12 District Judge 13 **APPEARANCES** 14 PREET BHARARA 15 United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 16 BY: ELISHA KOBRE STEPHEN SPIEGELHALTER 17 Assistant United States Attorneys 18 WILLIAM SCHWARTZ 19 BRUCE LEHR MICHELLE SMITH 20 ANNIKA GOLDMAN Attorneys for Defendant 21 FRENCH INTERPRETER: Arlette Weill 22 23 24 25

1 (In open court) THE DEPUTY CLERK: United States of America versus 2 3 Frederic Cilins. Appearances for the government? 4 MR. KOBRE: Good morning, your Honor. Elisha Kobre and Steven Spiegelhalter for the government. 5 6 THE COURT: Good morning. 7 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Appearances for the defendant? MR. SCHWARTZ: Good morning, your Honor. William 8 9 Schwartz, and I'm here with my colleague, Annika Goldman from 10 Cooley, LLP. 11 MR. LEHR: Good morning, your Honor. Bruce Lehr. 12 MS. SMITH: Good morning, your Honor. Michelle Smith 13 on behalf of Mr. Cilins, who's seated to my right. 14 THE COURT: Good morning to all of you. 15 I note the presence of a French interpreter. Would 16 you identify yourself for the record. 17 INTERPRETER: Yes, your Honor. My name is Arlette Weill, W-E-I-L-L. 18 THE COURT: Good morning. Please administer the oath 19 20 to the interpreter. 21 (Interpreter sworn) 22 THE COURT: Mr. Cilins, are you able to understand 23 what's being said here this morning through the French 24 interpreter?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

25

THE COURT: Very well. Good morning.

Mr. Kobre, what is the status of this matter?

MR. KOBRE: Your Honor, there was a trial date of December 2nd, which at the last conference and argument the Court indicated that it was open to adjourning to allow new defense counsel to get up to speed on the case and potentially set a new trial date.

And discovery has been produced. There is a pending motion before the Court, and the government sent the letter to the Court essentially to get clarity on the adjournment of the trial date and perhaps to get a sense of what trial dates the Court might be available for.

THE COURT: Mr. Schwartz?

MR. SCHWARTZ: I think that Mr. Kobre has fairly stated the status, your Honor. You'll recall from the last hearing we were a bit reluctant to agree to a trial date without knowing your Honor's ruling, because that could affect many things in this case, particularly how we prepare.

THE COURT: I do, and I have been working on a ruling with respect to that motion. It's my hope that within a few weeks' time I'm going to be able to complete my work on that and issue a ruling. And I think that we do need to fix, as best we can, a firm trial date at this time.

And I'm prepared, subject to comments from counsel, to set the matter down for jury selection and trial, assuming,

week of February, but if we're not going to have a ruling until

thought that your Honor had asked us to put aside the first

sometime in January, then probably the safer course is to

24

25

accept the date your Honor has proposed, unless your Honor has something later in February or earlier in March.

THE COURT: I quite frankly really don't. If you want

THE COURT: I quite frankly really don't. If you want to go to trial earlier, I'll transfer the case to another judge.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, there's still the issue with the ruling, so --

THE COURT: Well, I'll make the ruling. I put the work into it. But otherwise, really the earliest that I can do it is March 31.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, we will accept the Court's offer.

THE COURT: All right.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SCHWARTZ: "The offer" meaning March 31st.

THE COURT: March 31.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Not the offer to transfer the case.

THE COURT: Okay. March 31 for jury selection and trial.

Let's fix a schedule now with respect to 404(b) material and in limine motions. Does the government anticipate any 404(b)?

MR. KOBRE: I'm not sure yet, your Honor. And I would ask to be able to provide that to defense counsel no later than two weeks before trial.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, I don't mean to interrupt

Mr. Kobre. Are you done? 1 2 MR. KOBRE: Yes. 3 MR. SCHWARTZ: May I address the Court? 4 THE COURT: Yes. MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, I sent Mr. Kobre and 5 6 Mr. Spiegelhalter a letter a couple weeks ago asking to fix, 7 among other things, that date, 404(b) evidence six weeks before trial to give us a reasonable amount of time to make a motion 8 9 in limine, not in the course of trial preparation. And in 10 addition, your Honor, there are other things that we would like 11 the Court to talk to the government about, perhaps set some 12 deadlines. 13 THE COURT: All right. Before we get to the other 14 things, I'm in agreement with you, Mr. Schwartz, that the 15 government should produce 404(b) material well in advance of Accordingly, I'm going to require the government to 16 17 produce any 404(b) material by February 14th so that motions 18 in limine can be filed by February 24, with opposition by 19 March 7, reply by March 13. And I will set the matter down for 20 an oral argument on March 26 at 2:00. 21 Now, what other matters do you want to raise? 22 MR. SCHWARTZ: If I may just come to the podium, your 23 Honor. It's just a little easier.

THE COURT: I'll be able to hear you better.

MR. SCHWARTZ: So as your Honor is aware, this case

24

25

involves video and audiotapes of a number — we have received a number of telephone calls and had several fairly lengthy meetings between a cooperating witness and our client. Those tapes are extensive. They are all in French, but it makes it a little more difficult because the cooperating witness does not speak a Parisian French that's easy for us to have translations of and speaks sort of an African Pigeon French. And these are difficult tapes to manage.

We asked the government if they would designate those portions of the tapes and produce the transcripts of those portions of the tapes that they intend to introduce six weeks before trial, which would give us I think sufficient time to be able to check the translations and make sure that we are comfortable with them, but more importantly, to be able to make any cross designations for the Court's consideration and give the Court time to consider whether those cross designations are appropriate.

And I think now that the case is set for as far out as it is, six weeks is, in fact, very generous to the government. I can see it even being eight weeks. There's no reason that this has to be on the eve of trial where we get the principal evidence that's going to be used in the case. So that was the first thing.

THE COURT: Before you get to the next thing, let me hear from the government.

MR. KOBRE: Your Honor, the government intends to produce the entirety of the translations and transcriptions that we get. The audio recordings were sent to an audio enhancement expert to increase the quality of the audio so that they can be better translated. That was a fairly time consuming process. And we only recently received those back, and I've produced those audio enhancements to the defense.

We are working as quickly as we can to get the transcriptions. We do not have them yet. And we're working with the translators. And as soon as we have them, as soon as I have them, I will produce them in their draft forms as soon as I have those, as well as final transcripts. And I expect that to be substantially before trial. I don't know that it will be six weeks, but it will be very substantially before trial.

THE COURT: It's going to be six weeks. I'm fixing the same schedule.

MR. KOBRE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: There's no reason. It's always good for the government to look at its evidence well before trial.

And if you don't have translations, how on earth do you know what's in there?

MR. KOBRE: Your Honor, there were draft translations prepared by the FBI, and those have all been produced as well. So we do know what's in there. But for trial, obviously, we'll

have an expert testify and put those translations into the record.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, to be clear, it's not just the translations. The government has -- I am not complaining. I want to be clear, I'm not complaining about the government's production as they've gotten things for us. They have, as far as I can tell, lived up to their obligations. But just as important as the translations, six weeks before trial are the designations, which pieces of which tapes are -- or which translations are they actually offering.

THE COURT: How much in duration are we talking about on these tapes?

MR. SCHWARTZ: We're talking about -- I think there are three or four meetings of several hours each. I don't think the government is going to be playing all of them. And there are many, many, many phone calls. I don't know that the government, if any, is going to be playing of those. Maybe 12 hours total.

THE COURT: At some point the government's got to decide what it's actually going to play to the jury. At least can you designate the particular phone calls that you're going to play, or is it your intention to play all of them?

MR. KOBRE: No, your Honor. I think the phone calls is something that we can designate fairly easily, because, based on the draft translations that we have, subject to

potentially some tweaking --

THE COURT: Always subject to some tweaking, assuming good faith, right?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, I'm just -- I'm not going to stand here and make arguments if they have another few lines that they want to add. But the meat of the case is in the meetings, and the meetings are long. And they're hard -- I can't listen to them because they mean nothing to me. I can only read. But cross designation here may or may not be important. I mean, it's important for issues of hearsay. We may use tapes in different ways, but it would be very important for us to prepare our case. This is the government's evidence to know what it is they're offering from the meetings.

MR. KOBRE: Your Honor, as soon as we have the transcriptions back, we will -- and based also in part on what your Honor's ruling is, we'll designate those areas and I will convey them to Mr. Schwartz. I will not delay in doing that.

THE COURT: And in any event, so that Mr. Schwartz has the benefit of the designations, six weeks before trial so that he can frame any motions in limine.

MR. KOBRE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And cross designations.

MR. KOBRE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: What else?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, the next one is something

that I think your Honor traditionally hears but it's important in this case, as it is in every other case. We've asked the government as well to produce the 3500 material six weeks before trial. I don't think they have very many witnesses. I don't think this is complicated. It would give us a chance to really prepare. And so I would ask the Court inquire to the government as to that.

MR. KOBRE: Your Honor, I think that that's very premature. In my letter response to Mr. Schwartz I said that the government would produce the 3500 a reasonable time before trial. We're not talking here about producing it the Friday before trial. We are going to produce it substantially before trial. And I haven't even had a chance to talk with Mr. Schwartz yet. And we will work out between the parties a schedule that's appropriate. And if not, Mr. Schwartz can certainly approach the Court, but six weeks before trial is excessive. And there are —

THE COURT: What do you anticipate the volume of the 3500 material to be?

MR. KOBRE: It's hard even to know at this point.

It's not going to be -- we do not see this -- we don't have many witnesses, so we don't think it's going to be a very substantial amount of 3500 material. But we want to provide the right 3500 material at the appropriate time. And I will work with Mr. Schwartz on that.

THE COURT: Mr. Schwartz, I think that asking for the 3500 material six weeks in advance is a bridge too far. My sense in a case like this, because you're dealing with foreign witnesses, foreign language and a host of other issues, I think the government should produce it two weeks before trial. And obviously there will be 3500 material that will arise after that, but the material that the government can produce should be produced two weeks before trial.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, can I ask that we get at least at some period before that two weeks a good faith witness list of the witnesses the government intends to call in its case in chief?

MR. KOBRE: Your Honor, the government would oppose that. As your Honor knows, the law is pretty clear that unless there's some particularized showing of need, a witness list long before trial is not appropriate. We'll produce a witness list together with the 3500, or the 3500 — if there are witnesses that don't have 3500, we'll notify defense about that.

I should just mention for your Honor also, there are witness security issues in this case. And I can go into detail in that, if the Court would like. But I think two weeks before trial would be appropriate.

THE COURT: What are the witness security issues?

MR. KOBRE: Well, your Honor, this case arose out of

an attempt to obstruct an investigation that is still ongoing. The defendant was not the only person who was involved in that obstruction. The evidence in this case shows that there were other individuals as well. And we are concerned that there are witnesses out there that may be approached improperly or influenced improperly. And so I think that is just another reason why two weeks, two weeks before trial really is sufficient.

MR. SCHWARTZ: My client has been under arrest in this case, your Honor, and incarcerated since April. It is hard for me to believe — if the government has any evidence of tampering by anybody since April, then they should let the Court know that. If there has not been, then this is just a red herring that's on the table. There are a lot of people all over the world who know my client is under arrest, who know there are obstruction charges and have some sense of who some of the witnesses are. I don't think there's been any evidence of tampering, and I don't see any reason we can't get a witness list.

THE COURT: Look, on the question of a witness list, I will take a further letter submission from the parties about it and I'll fix a time after I hear the government's concern articulated more fully.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Finally, your Honor, and this is the government is required to produce to us their exhibits. And we

would ask that we get a substantial time before trial -- and not with the 3500 material, because it's very hard to get all of this stuff two weeks and then try to absorb it -- a list of the exhibits it intends to offer in its case in chief. I would ask your Honor, we asked them for six weeks. I'm prepared to take it a month before trial.

MR. KOBRE: Again, your Honor, this is not a complex case. And there's just no reason -- the exhibit list is not going to be extensive. I'm happy to discuss with

Mr. Schwartz --

THE COURT: So it sounds like it would be easy, then, for the government to provide -- you could provide the exhibit list now, if it's not extensive and it's all straightforward.

MR. KOBRE: It's not a matter -- your Honor, what I mean to say is --

THE COURT: It's about surprise. That's all defense counsel is concerned about.

Produce your exhibit list four weeks in advance, all right? And certainly in the preparation running up to trial, if something else comes up, it's subject to supplementing. But give them your list of exhibits four weeks in advance.

MR. KOBRE: Yes, sir.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, I've never had a case where the government has not supplemented its exhibit list and --

THE COURT: Nor I.

```
1
               MR. SCHWARTZ: -- has not done it in good faith.
               THE COURT: My experience is the same.
 2
 3
               Anything else?
 4
               MR. SCHWARTZ: No, your Honor. Depending on the
5
      Court's ruling, we may be coming back to talk about experts.
6
      But I think for the moment that's my agenda.
 7
               THE COURT: Anything further from the government?
               MR. KOBRE: Just two small matters. One is with
8
9
      respect to pretrial motions, just motions to suppress and
10
      things of that nature, I don't know that that's ever been
11
      addressed at this point.
12
               THE COURT: Are there any other pretrial motions that
13
      the defendant wishes to make at this time, motions to suppress
14
      or the like?
15
               MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, I don't believe that there
      are. But if there are, I'll call Mr. Kobre. I will inform him
16
17
      of that, and we will discuss the schedule.
               THE COURT: All right. You'll submit a letter to the
18
      Court?
19
20
               MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes.
21
               THE COURT: And can you come to a decision on that in
22
      the next two weeks?
23
               MR. SCHWARTZ: With the exception of the matters that
24
      are under --
25
               THE COURT: That might flow from my decision.
```

MR. SCHWARTZ: Then the answer is for sure. 1 THE COURT: Okay. What is the defendant's view 2 3 concerning the exclusion of time between now and March 31? MR. SCHWARTZ: The defendant will not object, your 4 5 Honor. THE COURT: Since this continuance is due to the 6 7 Court's need to resolve certain motions that are before it and to allow sufficient time for counsel to adequately prepare for 8 9 the trial of this case, I prospectively exclude the time from 10 today until March 31, 2014, from Speedy Trial Act calculations. I find that this continuance serves to ensure the effective 11 12 assistance of counsel and prevents any miscarriage of justice. 13 Additionally, I find that the ends of justice served by such a 14 continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and 15 Mr. Cilins in a speedy trial pursuant to 18, U.S.C., 16 Section 3161. 17 I would urge the parties to get any letter submission to me on the issue of the witness list by January 10. Just 18 submit something to me, all right, and I'll resolve it. 19 20 Anything further? 21 MR. KOBRE: No. Thank you, your Honor. 22 MR. SCHWARTZ: No, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Thank you for coming in. Have a good 24 holiday. 25 (Adjourned)